

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT BLANCHARD,

Defendant.

Case No. CR08-5125 BHS

DETENTION ORDER

THIS COURT conducted a detention hearing on April 8, 2008 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142. The United States of America was represented by Doug Hill. The defendant was present and represented by his attorney, Jesse Cantor. Also present was Raul Salazar, U.S. Pretrial Services Officer.

Based on the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, this Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and safety of any other person and the community. This finding is based on (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged; (2) the weight of the evidence against the person; (3) the history and characteristics of the person including those set forth in 18 U.S.C. §3142(g)(3)(A)(B); and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger release would impose to any person or the community.

The parties proceeded at the hearing by way of proffer, testimony of Cheryl Boling, on behalf of the Defendant, and argument. The court considered the following written documents: U.S. Pretrial Services

1 Report, Motion for Detention and the First Superseding Indictment.

2 The Government filed a Motion for Detention invoking the rebuttable presumption of detention
3 against defendant under 18 U.S.C. §3142(e) based on probable cause to believe defendant committed a
4 10+ year drug offense. The rebuttable presumption applies both to dangerousness and risk of flight under
5 18 U.S.C. §3142(e).

6 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

7 The defendant is charged in a first Superseding Indictment with Conspiracy to Distribute
8 Methamphetamine in Count I and Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering in Count II. It is alleged
9 that the offense in Count I involved 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing
10 methamphetamine. If convicted of the charge in Count I, there is a mandatory minimum sentence of ten
11 years with a maximum sentence of life.

12 The Plaintiff asserted at the Detention Hearing, by proffer, that this Defendant was the main
13 Defendant involved in the money laundering charge and this was accomplished through the use of his used
14 car business. The agents found one account of the Defendant's through which \$500,000 has passed over
15 the last year. The Plaintiff also alleged that the defendant was directly involved in the dealing of
16 methamphetamine in that agents monitored three deliveries of one pound or more of methamphetamine to
17 the defendant's residence as well as two pick-ups of money, related to the conspiracy charged in Count I.
18 Search warrants were executed on the Defendant's home and business. As a result of the search warrants,
19 the agents seized a small amount of drugs as well as several guns found at both locations.

20 Mr. Blanchard has significant ties with the Western District of Washington. He was born in
21 Aberdeen, Washington and is a lifetime resident of the State of Washington. He has family members living
22 close to him to include his mother, the mother of his children (Cheryl Boling) as well as his two children.
23 Mr. Blanchard had a significant number of friends present in court on his behalf during the Detention
24 Hearing. Mr. Blanchard also owns his home as well as his business, Blanchard Enterprises, which is a
25 used car business. The government asserts, however, that this business was used to facilitate laundering of
26 money received as a result of the distribution of methamphetamine, charged in Count I. Mr. Blanchard
27 has no known felony criminal history.

28 The Court finds that the Defendant presented sufficient information to the Court to rebut the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28